

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/732,809	12/11/2003	Johannes Heinecke	324-163	5874	
LOWE HAUP	7590 03/30/200 TMAN GILMAN & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Suite 300 1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314			SHAH, PARAS D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , ,		2626			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/732,809	HEINECKE, JOHANNES		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PARAS SHAH	2626		

-							
	PARAS SHAH	2626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL		Manada and Manada and American					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	1001100				
 \(\simega\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) \(\simega\) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a							
NOTE: The newly amended limitations of "including plurality of productormined languages," accord the							
plurality of predetermined languages," second character strings of different lengths," extracted word a plurality of character strings," character strings of said predetermined languages," and "first character string of said one determined language" as							
recited in claims 1 and 8 raise new issue that would							
41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a)	M will not be entered or b)	I ha antarad and an a	unlanation of				
 A purposes or appear, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		de entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 5,10 and 11.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT_OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ani							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The afficiavition of ther evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	* do NOT -l #!' .'' .'						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce pecause:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

/Patrick N. Edouard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626

/P. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2626 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20090321